

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/044,218		11/19/2001	Richard E. Rowe	IGT1P032D1/P-267DIV	IGT1P032D1/P-267DIV 9182	
22434	7590	06/01/2004		EXAMINER		
		& THOMAS LLP		HOTALING, JOHN M		
P.O. BOX 778 BERKELEY, CA 94704-0778			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DERGEDE	, 0 , .	.,		3713		

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004

6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/044,218	ROWE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John M Hotaling II	3713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	••
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lon. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communic SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncondition.	This action is non-final. lowance except for formal mat		its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the c	accepted or b) objected to othe drawing(s) be held in abeyan orrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a second communication.	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National Stage	е
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.3	(8) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/044,218

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. If applicant desires priority under 35 U.S.C. 119 or 120 based upon a previously filed application, specific reference to the earlier filed application must be made in the instant application. For benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121 or 365(c), the reference must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) of the applications. This should appear as the first sentence of the specification following the title, preferably as a separate paragraph unless it appears in an application data sheet. The status of nonprovisional parent application(s) (whether patented or abandoned) should also be included. If a parent application has become a patent, the expression "now Patent No. _______" should follow the filing date of the parent application. If a parent application has become abandoned, the expression "now abandoned" should follow the filing date of the parent application.

If the application is a utility or plant application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) on or after November 29, 2000, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. If the application is a utility or plant application which entered the national stage from an international application filed on or after November 29, 2000, after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the date on which the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) or sixteen months from the filing date of the

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/044,218 Page 3

Art Unit: 3713

prior application. See 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and (a)(5)(ii). This time period is not extendable and a failure to submit the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 119(e) and/or 120, where applicable, within this time period is considered a waiver of any benefit of such prior application(s) under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). A priority claim filed after the required time period may be accepted if it is accompanied by a grantable petition to accept an unintentionally delayed claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). The petition must be accompanied by (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 or 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) to the prior application (unless previously submitted), (2) a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t), and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional. The petition should be addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/044,218

Art Unit: 3713

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dabrowski US Patent 6,253,119. Columns 3-8 disclose the instant application specifically the following: column 3 discloses the microprocesser, column 4 discloses the touch screen or a similar pointing device as used in connection with a graphical user interfaces in home computer applications, columns 5 and 6 discloses the input/output mechanisms, and that the script processing device can include a scanner which reads codes on pre-coded script, additionally the external device that the script dispensing device may be connected to is a hand held device such as a PDA (personnel digital assistant) or a home computer. Further, the hand held device, the script processing unit may communicate with an infrared communications port using a protocol that implements handshaking and multiplexing of multiple data streams. Column 8 discloses that the script dispenser could interface directly with the microprocessor, or the functions of the script dispensing device could be performed by the microprocessor itself. Further the script dispensing device can be housed in the gaming device. In other words, Dabrowski discloses a wireless hand held device that communicates with infrared technology that includes an integrated script reader. With respect to claim 2 a

Application/Control Number: 10/044,218

Art Unit: 3713

memory for storing game transactions see columns 5 and 6. With respect to claim 4 see column 4:5-19 and that it is known for a PDA to use a touch screen. With respect to claim 5 see column 5:63-67. With respect to claim 7 see column 6:13-24. With respect to claims 8, 10, and 12 see column 6:25-44. With respect to claim 9, 11, 13, and 14 see columns 5:62-6:42 which disclose various types of gaming transactions. With respect to claim 15 Dabrowski is used in a casino. With respect to claims 16 and 18 through 25 please see above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dabrowski US Patent 6,253,119 as applied to claims 1 and 16 above and in further view of Angell et al US Patent 6,702,672. Dabrowski discloses all of the instant application without specifically disclosing that the memory is removable from the hand held device and that the game is assigned to a game service representative. Instead Dabrowski discloses that (5:50-62) that the hand held device has the advantage of increasing the functionality provided by the script dispensing device since data and functional selection can be performed using standard off the shelf intranet or other networking hardware and software. The above statement is adequate motivation to enable one of ordinary skill to find other hand held game machines and utilize the technology found. In the hand held

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/044,218 Page 6

Art Unit: 3713

game machine of Angell there is disclosed the use of a removable memory such as a smart card see columns 1,2, and 4. Furthermore Angell teaches in 3:33-40 that a player that receives wireless gaming device from a game officiant who represents a gaming establishment or the "house". Wireless gaming device 20 is capable of receiving wager information as commands entered by the player and transmitting the received wager information along with identification information to receiver 30 by wireless transmission. The above statement by angel makes it seem like the game machine is in the care of the game officiant. Making a individual game service representative responsible for a hand held gaming machine before checking it out to a player seems like an obvious matter of choice with respect to operating a business. Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art using the motivation supplied above to combine the reference to have a memory which is removable and that the game machine is assigned to the game officiant prior to being assigned to the game player.

Citation of Pertinent Prior Art

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kelmer et al '917 discloses a hand held game macine with removable memory Burns et al '957 discloses a gaming ticket method (ezpay)

Saunders et al '832 discloses a gaming ticket method (ezpay)

Luciano et al '775 discloses a gaming ticket method (ezpay)

Application/Control Number: 10/044,218

Art Unit: 3713

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M Hotaling II whose telephone number is 703 305 0780. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa Walberg can be reached on (703) 308-1327. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN M. HOTALING, II PEHNARY EXAMINER

May 28, 2004

Page 7